IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.GIRI

TUESDAY, THE 6TH NOVEMBER 2007 / 15TH KARTHIKA 1929

WP(C).No. 32909 of 2007(P)

PETITIONER:

T.K.RAJMOHAN,
SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, SIG II,
CBCID, KOZHIKODE.

BY ADV. SRI.S.SREEKUMAR

RESPONDENTS:

STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY ITS
SECRETARY, HOME (A) DEPARTMENT,
GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, TRIVANDRUM.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.BEJOY CHANDRAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 06/11/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

V. GIRI ,J.

WP(C).NO.32909 of 2007

Dated this the 6th day of November, 2007

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is a Superintendent of Police in the General Executive Branch in Kerala Police. He was originally appointed on 22.6.1981 as a Sub Inspector of Police. According to him, his correct date of birth is 15.11.1955. It was wrongly entered as 15.5.1954. Petitioner originally applied for correction of date of birth in the school records, in the year 1992. The SSLC book was corrected only on 14.6.1999. Thereafter he applied, as per Ext.P3, for correction of date of birth in the service records. It has been rejected by the Director. Though the petitioner filed a review, the same was rejected under Ext.P9 on the premise that the petitioner's application is belated in terms of Ext.P10 government order.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner could have applied for correction of date of birth in the service records only after correction in the school records and therefore the time frame mentioned in Ext.P10 must be computed with reference to the correction of date of birth in the school records.

3. A similar contention has been repelled in Writ Petition No.30029/2007. In my view, there is nothing illegal in the time frame prescribed in Ext.P10, and therefore rejection of the request for correction of date of birth in the service records, on the ground that it is belated is neither illegal nor unjustified. I find no merit in the writ petition and the same is dismissed.

V. GIRI, JUDGE

css/